MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING
SANTA MARIA CITY COUNCIL

CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA

MAY 19, 2015

INVOCATION:
Pastor Carl Nielsen of Bethel Lutheran Church gave the Invocation.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG:
Councilmember Boysen led the Pledge of Allegiance.

CALL TO ORDER:
Mayor Patino called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL:
MEMBERS PRESENT
Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino.

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT
City Manager Haydon, City Attorney Trujillo, Director of Community Development Appel, Deputy City Manager Barnhard, City Librarian Housel, Director of Public Works/City Engineer Kahn, Police Chief Martin, Fire Chief Orr, Director of Recreation and Parks Posada, Police Sergeant Ruiz, Assistant City Attorney Sinco, Director of Utilities Springer, Director of Administrative Services Visé, and Deputy City Clerk Cleary.

1. PROCLAMATIONS/COMMENDATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:

A. VOLUNTEER OF THE MONTH – POLICE DEPARTMENT
This item was continued to the June 2, 2015 City Council meeting.

B. PROCLAMATION – VETERAN'S ACADEMY
Councilmember Zuniga presented a proclamation commending the Employment Development Department (EDD) and the Santa Maria Valley Chamber of Commerce on their efforts with the Veteran's Academy and placing veterans in the local work force. Jéan Nicolas, representing the Employment Development Department, accepted the Proclamation.

C. PROCLAMATION – NATIONAL PUBLIC WORKS WEEK
Councilmember Waterfield read a proclamation recognizing May 17-23, 2015, as "National Public Works Week" in the City of Santa Maria. Public Works Director, Steve Kahn, accepted the proclamation.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD
Tara Presley, representing Unity in Community, thanked Mayor Patino and the City Council for their support in the recent Prayer Walk down Broadway. She also recognized several of the organizations in the City for their participation.

Jerry Schmidt, President of the Santa Maria Police Council, explained the purpose of their group is to raise funds for items in support of the Police Department that are not already included in the City budget. He spoke about the recent golf tournament fundraiser saying nearly 400 people attended and $47,700 was raised from the silent auction.

Daniel Castillo, softball coach for Santa Maria girls' softball team, spoke about his organization and the challenges they face raising funds.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR:
Councilmember Waterfield indicated that she would be abstaining from voting on Item 3D (Warrants), due to a financial conflict.

Councilmember Boysen indicated that he would be abstaining from voting on Item 3D (Warrants), due to a financial conflict.

Consent Calendar Items 3A through 3H (except 3D) were approved on motion by Councilmember Orach, seconded by Councilmember Waterfield, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES:	Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	None
ABSTAIN:	None

Consent Calendar Item 3D only was approved on motion by Councilmember Orach, seconded by Councilmember Zuniga, and carried on the following vote: 3-0-2

AYES: Councilmembers Orach, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: Councilmembers Boysen and Waterfield

A. ORDINANCES
The reading in full of all ordinances and resolutions was waived. Ordinances on the Consent Calendar were adopted by the same vote cast at the first reading unless City Council indicated otherwise.

B. MINUTES
The Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the City Council of May 5, 2015, were approved as submitted.

C. ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES AGREEMENT
Resolution No. 2015-42 was adopted approving the 2015-16 agreement with the County of Santa Barbara for animal control services.

Resolution No. 2015-42 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA FOR ANIMAL CONTROL SERVICES.

D. WARRANTS
Warrant Nos. 193072 to 193362 totaling $2,865,949.27 were ordered ratified subject to having been certified as being in conformity with the budget and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services. Warrant No. 192524 was cancelled.

E. PAYROLL
Payment of payroll was ordered ratified subject to having been certified by the proper Department Heads, as shown on records on file in the Department of Administrative Services and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services.

F. AWARD OF BID NO. 2015/04 FOR BUS WASH FACILITY
Resolution No. 2015-43 was adopted approving a contract with Red Mountain Services, Inc. in the amount of $861,583 for the Santa Maria Area Transit Bus Wash Facility on Fairway Drive.

Resolution No. 2015-43 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING A CONTRACT WITH RED MOUNTAIN SERVICES, LLC. CONSTRUCTION DIVISION FOR BID NO. 2015/04, SANTA MARIA AREA TRANSIT BUS WASH FACILITY.

G. MEASURE "A" FIVE-YEAR LOCAL PROGRAM OF PROJECTS
Resolution No. 2015-44 was adopted approving the Measure "A" Five-Year Local Program of Projects for fiscal years 2015 through 2020; and certifying that the City will provide sufficient funding in the 2015-16 budget to meet the Measure "A" Maintenance of Effort requirement.

Resolution No. 2015-44 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE MEASURE A FIVE-YEAR LOCAL PROGRAM OF PROJECTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 THROUGH FISCAL YEAR 2019-20.

H. FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION SECTION 5307 FUNDS
Resolution No. 2015-45 was adopted approving applications for the Santa Maria Area Transit local capital and operating assistance and the San Luis Obispo Regional Transit Authority Route 10 Transit Service.

Resolution No. 2015-45 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING APPLICATIONS FOR FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION SECTION 5307 FUNDS FOR FY 2015-16, FY 2016-17, AND FY 2017-18 FOR SANTA MARIA URBANIZED AREA.

Mayor Patino requested that Item No. 5 (Banning the Distribution and Sale of Certain Intoxicating Chemical Substances) be moved before Item No. 4 (Video Surveillance System Presentation) on the agenda.

4. BANNING THE DISTRIBUTION AND SALE OF CERTAIN INTOXICATING CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES. Assistant City Attorney Sinco gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. State and federal laws prohibit some synthetic drugs, however, the makers of these drugs continually alter the composition in their products so as to escape the scope of those laws and

regulations. Synthetic drugs are extremely dangerous, as exhibited recently during an episode at El Camino Junior High where students became ill after using them. The proposed ordinance takes into account specific compositions of bath salts/spice and several variations of the compounds.

Staff proposes an ordinance be adopted banning the possession, sale, and distribution of synthetic drugs in an effort to reduce problems associated with their use. Other cities on the Central Coast that have adopted a similar ordinance report that businesses selling synthetic drugs prior to the establishment of the ordinance have ceased selling them. Staff believes the proposed ordinance will have a positive impact on the community as the City will have additional enforcement to prevent the use of synthetic drugs.

Assistant City Attorney Sinco specified that the definition of synthetic substances used in the Ordinance covered a broad range of substances and their derivatives in anticipation of alterations in the chemical make-up of the drugs.

Public Comment
Mark Richardson with Santa Maria Joint Union High School District; Doni-Jo Munro and Gilbert Harper with American Indian Education Programs; Wendy Stanley with Santa Barbara County Probation; Britt Stanley with the Council on Alcohol and Drug Abuse; Chuck Madson with Coast Valley Substance Abuse Treatment Court; Katarina Zamora; Edwin Weaver with Fighting Back Santa Maria Valley; Kristina Sanchez with Coast Valley Substance Abuse Treatment Court; PCI Student Kimberly Belfiglio; Michael Fuentes with Santa Maria Valley Youth Family; parent Teresa Zurita; parent Alfredo Celeya; and Barbara Jones of El Camino Junior High School, spoke in favor of passing the ordinance, citing personal experiences they have had with youth, students, family, and friends.

Councilmember Boysen asked if the County was going forward with any laws specific to bath salts and spice. Wendy Stanley responded that the County did not currently have an ordinance, but would have to create something if synthetic drug use continued to increase. Edwin Weaver also stated he met with 4th District County Supervisor Peter Adam who was in favor of such an ordinance.

Council Discussion
Councilmember Waterfield asked what stores sell bath salts and what the age limit is to enter the stores.

Police Sergeant Ruiz responded that bath salts are usually sold in smoke shops. Persons must be 18 years of age to enter smoke shops, but fake ID cards are easy to obtain.

Councilmember Zuniga asked how many smoke shops are in the community.

Sergeant Ruiz said he was unsure how many there were, and that there were other stores in the community that also sell the synthetic drugs. Passing the ordinance would ban the sale of any type of substance that has any hallucinogenic effect.

Councilmember Boysen suggested no longer allowing any new smoke shops in the City. He also encouraged residents to contact Santa Barbara County Supervisors Lavagnino and Adam to ask them to establish a county-wide ordinance that combats synthetic drugs in unincorporated areas within the County.

Ordinance No. 2015-06 was introduced by title only for first reading and continued to the next meeting for second reading and adoption adding Chapter 6-11 to the City of Santa Maria Municipal Code to ban the sale of certain synthetic chemical substances on motion by Councilmember Boysen, seconded by Councilmember Zuniga, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga and Mayor Patino
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

Ordinance No. 2015-06 introduced. AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA ADDING CHAPTER 6-11 TO THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE TO BAN THE SALE OF CERTAIN SYNTHETIC CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES.

5. VIDEO SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM PRESENTATION. Police Chief Martin introduced Marcel Corby with Security Lines US who gave a presentation on a video surveillance system currently being considered by the Police Department to enhance the Department's law enforcement and crime prevention mission.

Ms. Corby described the i4-POD system, a portable surveillance system designed to be used to view live or playback recorded video from specific City-owned computers. The cameras are portable and can be placed in various locations in the City and only need a power source to function. A regular power source may be used (wall plug) or the cameras can be mounted on a light pole using a photocell for power. One POD provides several views and costs $7,495. There is no specific server to view the video feed, access to the hard drive is via the internet.

Councilmember Waterfield asked how long the cameras last and if the City would install them or if Security Lines US would do the installation.

Ms. Corby responded the cameras can last up to five years. The hard drive works 24/7 and does burn out after a couple of years. The extended warranty costs $250/year. The cameras do well outside as they are made from stainless steel. The City would be trained to learn how to install them.

Mayor Patino asked how long it takes to install them and if it was possible for someone to shoot them out.

Ms. Corby replied that it takes about 30 minutes to install one camera. She went on to say that no cameras have been shot out since the company began.

Chief Martin said there are very few cities anymore without video surveillance and he encouraged Council to support the purchase. He also said certain companies in town have offered to purchase them and place them on their own buildings.

The general consensus of the City Council was that the cameras would be very beneficial.

6. AUSTIN GARDENS SENIOR HOUSING GENERAL PLAN LAND USE MAP AMENDMENT AND ZONE CHANGE. The City Council considered a recommendation of the Planning Commission to deny an application to amend the General Plan Land Use and Zoning designation of a 2.1 acre parcel, located on Oakley Court, **FROM** M-2 (General Manufacturing) and GI (General Industrial) General Plan Land Use designation **TO** a PD/R-3 (Planned Development/High Density Residential); and directed staff to deny the application.

Community Development Director Appel gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. A previous application in 2007-2008 for 36 apartment units on the same site was denied after neighboring business owners spoke out against the project. All properties surrounding the proposed site are developed, and the railroad tracks are directly adjacent to it. Current PD/M-2 zoning allows for potential heavy industrial use that would not be appropriate next to existing housing in the area. On the other hand, the proposed PD/R-3 would place a large number of residents (72 proposed units for senior housing) on a site that is adjacent to the railroad and industry. Local businesses expect to be shipping/receiving more railcars annually over the next few years as their customer base grows. At its regular meeting on April 15, 2015, the Planning Commission, on a 4-1 vote, recommended that the City Council not initiate the General Plan amendment after hearing concerns from adjacent property owners.

Councilmember Boysen asked what staff's recommendation was.

Director of Community Development Appel responded that staff supported the Planning Commission's decision to deny the application.

Brian Schwartz, Senior Planner with Urban Planning Concepts, introduced the applicant's team of Architects, Gil Palacios, Acoustical Engineer Dr. Leslie Penzes, Urban Planning Concepts' Principal Planner and President Laurie Tamura, and Attorney Jim Buttery. Mr. Schwartz explained the project from 2008 was a family project with two and three bedroom units. The applicant had requested a shortened appeal period at that time due to limited funding cycles. There were also several industrial land owners that were opposed to the project.

Mr. Schwartz described the proposed project as affordable housing for seniors (62 years and older). It would be 72-units with sixty-two (62) one-bedroom units and ten (10) two-bedroom units. The building would be three stories with elevators. The second and third floors would offer an open view to the garden courtyard below. There would be an eight (8) foot sound wall along the east side. The main concerns with the project were related to noise from the railroad and adjacent industrial areas. Dr. Leslie Pence, performed a noise study and found that the project would comply with the City's noise threshold.

All the units would meet the requirement for very low-income categories. Rents would be expected to be between $400 and $600 per month. There would be a management company and full-time property manager on-site. The community would be gated with 24-hour security. The apartments would be 70-130 feet from the railroad and 125-160 feet from the distribution center adjacent to them. Mr. Schwartz explained that trains coming into the area are loaded facing the distribution center, not facing the apartments. Nearby La Vista Apartments are located 90 feet from the railroad tracks and several other projects within 65 feet of the railroad had been approved.

Mr. Schwartz stated there would be no interruption to industrial operations and that the project would enhance the residential presence in the area while providing nice, safe housing for an underserved segment of the City's population.

Attorney Jim Buttery reiterated that a detailed study had been done regarding noise levels and the site assessment. He stated the staff report for the item did not mention the fact that the project would be for low income seniors and he wanted to make that clear to the public. He cited the City of Santa Maria's recently approved Housing Element and the need for 493 very low-income units to be constructed in the next eight (8) years in the City, saying the proposed project fits well with that need. He encouraged Council to ask staff to study the project further in an effort to go forward based on its merits.

Planner Laurie Tamura presented three letters from local residents in favor of going forward with the project. She stated Hayward Lumber was supportive of the project as they had never had a noise complaint about their rail deliveries. She said the managers from La Vista Apartments were in favor of the project and had never had noise complaints about the railroad from their tenants. La Vista Apartment managers were concerned that heavy industrial would be developed on the site if the very low-income, senior housing was not. Ms. Tamura also mentioned the only access to the project was through residential neighborhoods and, should the City go forward with heavy industrial on the site, could create an elevated level of complaints from current residents.

Councilmember Waterfield asked for staff's opinion of the noise study.

Community Development Director Appel responded the noise study that had been submitted was the noise study from the 2007-2008 application based on a two-story building. The eight (8) foot wall currently being proposed would help with sounds on the first floor, but not with the second or third. The recommendation of the report was to prohibit windows that open on the side of the project facing the railroad tracks. Windows would have to be sealed, perhaps double-paned, and the units would need air-conditioning or another type of ventilation to compensate.

Architect Gil Palacios, stated there was only one access to the project, off of Oakley Court with two, two-way driveways. He also stated the sound study was a new, updated study and was not the same report provided in 2007-2008. All sound guidelines required by the City were met or exceeded with the current proposal.

Councilmember Waterfield asked for clarification on the diagram plan regarding three railroad tracks. She also asked how easily emergency crews would be able to access residents during an emergency.

Mr. Palacios explained that one track was the main track, another was the spur to the local industry, and the third was a rarely used line. He also explained the walkways and elevators would be wide enough for emergency crews to get in and out with gurneys.

Councilmember Boysen asked what the wording was on the deed restriction and if the 30 percent that was referred to meant 30 percent of a resident's income would be for rent.

Mr. Palacios responded residents would pay 25 to 40 percent of their income as rent, depending on their financial situation, and the deed restriction was for 30 years. State guidelines mandate the specific requirements based on the tax-credits and the project would follow those guidelines.

Councilmember Zuniga stated she met with the applicant previously for clarification on the plans of the proposed project. She asked Community Development Director Appel how this project would be affected any differently from other projects in the City in close proximity to the railroad tracks. She asked what would happen once Area 9 begins developing.

Community Development Director Appel responded that the area being discussed was difficult from a planning standpoint because it was a convergence of heavy industrial and high density residential.

While the City needs affordable senior housing, staff is not sure this is the right location, particularly at this density.

Councilmember Zuniga commented on the fact that the area used to be all industrial and now had a much larger residential component.

Public Input
Tony Cochiolo spoke about the noise created by railcars coming through the proposed site that sit with refrigerators running for several hours loading and unloading refrigeration (reefer) trucks. He also cited examples of the trains and trucks occasionally scheduled on overnight shifts that could, potentially, be idling with their refrigeration units running all night.

Anthony Cochiolo stated he understood how necessary senior housing was in the City and that he thought the proposal was a good one. However, he said he did not believe anyone would want to live near his business based on the amount of noise the trains and trucks create. He explained how produce arrives from the fields and needs to be cooled in order to transport. The refrigerator units in the refrigeration (reefer) trucks make a lot of noise and when both doors are open as the truck is being loaded, the sound from the coolers is amplified. Forklifts, metal drums, dock lifts, etc. all contribute to an elevated noise level that could cause nearby residents to complain.

Councilmember Waterfield asked about the frequency of trucks and trains.

Mr. Cochiolo explained that trains were able to come into town anytime 24/7. There was no set schedule. Trains come when an order needs to be filled.

Council Discussion
Councilmember Boysen asked what Mr. Schwartz's response was to the Cochiolos' comments.

Mr. Schwartz stated that mechanical ventilation was standard in buildings and residents would be able to open their windows. He showed a slide of the rail spur with overgrown vegetation on it saying it was indicative of minimal amount of train/reefer truck activity taking place in the area. Residents would be given the option of disclosure statements upon moving into the units. He encouraged Council to move the project forward and have staff conduct further research.

Rocky Boydston, a Board member on the Santa Maria Valley Contractor's Association, spoke of how beneficial the project would be for the area. He stated he used to live at La Vista Apartments on the side near the tracks and never noticed the trains. He spent two months working in the area and never saw a train. He also said he thought the project benefits outweighed any negatives.

Mayor Patino asked Community Development Director Appel what other options were available for the project site.

Community Development Director Appel stated alternatives could include mini-storage, a church, single-family homes with an effective sound wall, a small mobile home park, or professional offices.

Mayor Patino commented on Union Valley Parkway and the noise concerns that have arisen since the project was finalized. She stated she believed the proposed project was a great project, but she did not think the site was appropriate. She also said she did not want to jeopardize a local industry by putting in a project that would create problems for them.

Councilmember Zuniga stated she thought it was important to respect the businesses already established in the area and said if staff were asked to go forward with more research, she would ask them to do a sound study based on the trucks and the Cochiolo's business.

Community Development Director Appel said staff would be happy to study these factors should they be asked to go forward.

Councilmember Boysen pointed out that 72 units on three acres, two-stories high would be impactful no matter where it was in the City. He stated he did not feel the site was appropriate for affordable housing.

Ms. Tamura stated she and her team were not opposed to a continuance.

Councilmember Boysen stated he would not be against a continuance and he welcomed the applicant to apply again, perhaps with a smaller project.

Councilmember Waterfield said she believed the site inappropriate for single-family homes and senior housing because of the businesses in close proximity. She also stated she was concerned with the continued growth in the strawberry industry and the need for more trains and trucks to process shipments. She said she did not want to continue the item.

The City Council voted to uphold the recommendation of the Planning Commission and deny the application to amend the General Plan Land Use and Zoning designation of a 2.1 acre parcel, located on Oakley Court, on motion by Councilmember Waterfield, seconded by Councilmember Boysen, and carried on the following vote: 3-2

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino
NOES: Councilmembers Orach and Zuniga
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

7. WATER SHORTAGE CONTINGENCY PLAN MODIFICATION. The City Council considered a resolution modifying Stage 1 of the City of Santa Maria's Water Shortage Contingency Plan to comply with State Requirements. Modifications would prohibit: 1) the application of potable water to outdoor landscapes during or within 48 hours after measurable rainfall; 2) irrigation with potable water of ornamental turf on public street medians; and 3) irrigation with potable water outside newly constructed homes or buildings not in accordance with emergency regulations or other requirements established by the Building Standards Commission and the Department of Housing and Community Development.

Director of Utilities Springer gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. Throughout 2014, Governor Brown declared a State of Emergency in California due to severe drought conditions and called for various reductions in water usage. The City of Santa Maria followed suit with resolutions amending and enacting Stage 1 of its Water Shortage Contingency Plan.

On April 1, 2015, Governor Brown ordered water suppliers to reduce potable urban water usage statewide by 25 percent. Mr. Springer explained the City had already reached its goal of conserving 20 percent by the year 2020, and had not experienced limitations in its ability to meet current water demands due to the sizable quantity of water available in its groundwater aquifer. While water agencies across the State are required to reduce potable urban water use by an average of 25 percent, the City is required to reduce its potable urban water use by 16 percent based on the tiered framework. It should be noted that this percentage did not take into account growth within the City.

Modifying Stage 1 would comply with the latest water regulations imposed by the State. By monitoring overall usage, the City would be able to identify potential leaks, and customers who did not follow the regulations. Staff would be conducting an outreach campaign to inform customers of the City's new water regulations. Staff has also placed a program on the City's website that allows people to calculate their daily usage based on the number of people in their household. In addition, a program beginning June 1, 2015 would allow residents to exchange their current shower heads for water conserving shower heads. A Water Conservation Hotline has been set-up allowing callers to leave anonymous messages regarding water issues. The phone number is 805-925-0951 ext. 802 and residents were also invited to email: waterhotline@cityofsantamaria.org.

Public Input
There were no requests to speak.

Council Discussion
Mayor Patino asked for the definition of a measurable rainfall.

Utilities Director Springer responded the City has put in a request with the State for clarification on the same question.

Councilmember Boysen asked about the possibility of holding a contest to educate and motivate residents to save water.

Director of Utilities Springer responded that he thought the idea was very good and residents were invited to share water saving tips through the hotline and email.

Resolution No. 2015-46 was adopted modifying Stage 1 of the Water Shortage Contingency Plan on motion by Councilmember Orach, seconded by Councilmember Boysen, and carried on the following vote. 5-0

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga and Mayor Patino
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

Resolution No. 2015-46 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA MODIFYING STAGE 1 OF THE WATER SHORTAGE CONTINGENCY PLAN.

REPORT BY CITY MANAGER:
City Manager Haydon announced the next City Council meeting would be in two weeks on June 2, 2015. He stated items for the meeting would include the Police Department's Volunteer of the Month being continued from this evening; a presentation of the Going the Extra Mile (GEM) award; a retirement resolution for Phil Alvarado, Superintendent of Santa Maria-Bonita Schools; the second reading and adoption of the ordinance banning sales of synthetic drugs; a supplemental water agreement with CCWA; two public hearings for first readings of Mission Creek and Fairway/A Street zoning changes; consideration of the withdrawal of the City from the HOME Consortium Agreement with Santa Barbara County; and the Westgate Senior Housing Authorization – Towbes Project.

ORAL REPORTS OF COUNCILMEMBERS AND OFFICERS (INCLUDING AB1234 REPORTS):
Councilmember Zuniga reported that she spoke on the Ben Heighes Radio Show; attended the National Day of Prayer event; attended the Community Action Champions Dinner; participated in the Unity in the Community prayer walk and resource fair; attended the Spanish Ministry Prayer Search event; presented the Proclamation at the Peace Officer's Memorial gathering; conducted the welcome at Shepard Hall for the Kids Impact Awards; attended the Elks Lodge Student and Citizen of the Year dinner; presented a Proclamation to the Altrusa Club; attended the C3H Policy Council meeting; and attended the Art of Recovery exhibit being put on by the Mental Health Association.

Councilmember Waterfield reported that she attended several of the same events as Councilmember Zuniga attended and, in addition, spoke at the Veteran's Academy and coordinated the Santa Maria Police Council golf fundraiser.

Councilmember Orach reported that he attended the Chamber of Commerce tourism luncheon at Presqu'ile Winery, a LAFCO meeting, the Economic Forecast Presentation, the Police Officers Memorial Program, the Elks Lodge Student/Citizen of the Year Award, and the Police Council golf tournament.

Councilmember Boysen stated that he attended the Economic Forecast Presentation and a presentation by the architectural group at Allan Hancock College.

Mayor Patino reported that she attended the National Travel and Tourism Luncheon at Presqu'ile Winery, the Marian Medical CEO Breakfast Roundtable, the Veteran's Academy, Day of Prayer, the State Route 166 Task Force meeting with the CHP, the Economic Forecast breakfast, the Unity in the Community event, a high school rodeo event at Cal Poly, the Chamber of Commerce Transportation Committee meeting, a Washington D.C. symposium, a Police Officers Memorial event in D.C., the Santa Maria Police Council Golf Tournament, and spoke on the Ben Heighes Radio Show. She also attended the Drug Treatment Court Ceremony at the Veteran's Center.

ADJOURNMENT:
There being no further business before the City Council, Mayor Patino declared the City Council meeting adjourned at 10:03 p.m.

////
////
////

APPROVED:

June 2, 2015
Date

M. Beth Cleary
Deputy City Clerk of the City of Santa Maria and
ex officio Clerk of the City Council

ATTEST:

M. Beth Cleary
M. Beth Cleary
Deputy City Clerk

Alice M. Patino
Mayor of the City of Santa Maria